



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/160,909	12/03/93	YAMAZAKI	S 0756935

D1M1/0715
SIXBEY, FRIEDMAN, LEEDOM & FERGUSON
2010 CORPORATE RIDGE, SUITE 600
MCLEAN, VA 22102

WILCZEWSKI, EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1107	

DATE MAILED:

07/15/96

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

Commissioner of Patents

Advisory Action	Application No. 08/160,909	Applicant(s) Yamazaki et al.
	Examiner Mary Wilczewski	Group Art Unit 1107



THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]

- a) expires five months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later: In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.

- Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on _____ (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).

Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on Jun 25, 1996 has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:

The proposed amendment(s):

- will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
- will not be entered because:
 - they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
 - they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
 - they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
 - they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: Amending the claims to recite a "non-single crystal" silicon film, a "vacuum" chamber, and a laser processing apparatus having a chamber and a laser with a rectangular-shaped beam raises new issues.

- Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
-
-

Newly proposed or amended claims _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.

The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):

Claims allowed: none

Claims objected to: none

Claims rejected: 8, 11-13, 15-20, and 23-25

The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ has has not been approved by the Examiner.

Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____

Other *Note the attached Notice of References Cited, PTO-892, on which is cited the Levatter patent, US Patent 4,370,175, which was submitted by Applicants in the Information Disclosure Statement filed on June 25, 1996.*


MARY WILCZEWSKI
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1100